

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/550,266	Applicant(s) STOCKER, THOMAS	
	Examiner Erika Kretzmer	Art Unit 2192	

All Participants:

(1) Erika Kretzmer

(2) Tuan Dam, SPE

Date of Interview: 22 April 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
1

Claims discussed:
1, 7, 10, 18, 21, 23

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Erika Kretzmer/
Examiner, Art Unit 2192

Status of Application: Pending

(3) Peter Martine (Reg. no. 32,043)

(4) _____

Time: 4:45pm

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Examiners faxed preliminary amendments and brief explanation to applicant on 4/20/2010. See attached copy of fax.

Discussed proposed Examiner Amendments that were provided to Applicant via fax on 4/20/2010 to clarify claimed subject matter and distinguish the claims over the prior art of record. Discussed "tangible" versus "storage" medium to address issues of non-statutory subject matter under 35 USC 101.

Agreement was reached as to the language in the Examiner's Amendment, and Examiner to issue Notice of Allowance with this paper.